



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097069,228	04/27/98	FLOWMAN	2347118

022249  
LYON & LYON LLP  
SUITE 4700  
633 WEST FIFTH STREET  
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

HM32/1207

EXAMINER HOLLERAN, A
-------------------------

ART UNIT 1542	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 12/07/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/069,228

Applicant(s)  
Plowman et al.

Examiner  
Anne Holleran

Group Art Unit  
1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Holleran

(3) Mr. Anderton

(2) Examiner Eyler

(4) Mr. Warburg

Date of Interview Jun 29, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed 112, 1st rejection, scope of enablement, for claims drawn to nucleic acid molecules comprising nucleotide sequences encoding less than the full length of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. Informed Applicant's representatives that new rejections under 101 and 112, 1st, written description need to be made. A supplemental office action will be forthcoming. Applicant's representatives were referred to Mr. Brian Stanton for clarification of the new utility guidelines, specifically clarification of research use as a specific utility.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

ANTHONY C. GILLESPIE  
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/069,228

Applicant(s)

Plowman et al.

Examiner

Anne Holleran

Group Art Unit

1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne Holleran(3) Jayme Huleat(2) Tom Anderton

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 7, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Examiner assured Applicant's representatives that a supplemental action would be mailed soon and that the Examiner would not abandon the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
ANTHONY C. CAPUTA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.